

1 PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER  
2 Michael R. Kealy, Esq., NV Bar No. 971  
3 Ashley C. Nikkel, Esq., NV Bar No. 12838  
4 50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 750  
5 Reno, Nevada 89501  
6 Telephone: (775) 323-1601  
7 Email: [mkealy@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:mkealy@parsonsbehle.com)  
8 [anikkel@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:anikkel@parsonsbehle.com)

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
10 *Union Pacific Railroad Company*

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL PARTIES OF RECORD	
NOV 15 2018	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

11  
12 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

13 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

14 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, a  
15 Delaware corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 WINECUP GAMBLE, INC., a Nevada  
19 corporation; and PAUL FIREMAN, an  
20 individual,

21 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00477-LRH-CBC

**STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR  
EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY  
DEADLINES  
(THIRD REQUEST)**

22 This is the third stipulation for an extension of time the final discovery deadline. This  
23 stipulation is made prior to the expiration of discovery deadline, which is currently set for  
24 December 10, 2018.

25 The parties to this litigation, pursuant to LR IA 6-1 and LR 26-4, hereby agree and  
26 stipulate to the following:

27 1. The parties' original discovery plan, outlined in the Joint Case Management  
28 Conference Report [ECF No. 28], dated November 2, 2017, set a discovery cut-off of June 11,  
2018.

1           2.       On February 20, 2018, the parties requested an extension of the discovery cutoff  
2 following a change in legal counsel by Plaintiff, and due in part to Plaintiff's challenges in  
3 gathering its documents that were responsive to Defendant's discovery requests, and also due to  
4 the need for complicated expert involvement in the case [ECF No. 49]. The parties then  
5 requested the new discovery cutoff to be September 18, 2018. *Id.* The Court granted the request.  
6 [ECF No. 50].

7           3.       The Court held a case management conference on June 4, 2018, concerning the  
8 status of discovery [ECF No. 62]. At the conference, the parties agreed an extension of the  
9 discovery cutoff was warranted, and the Court set the new discovery deadline as December 10,  
10 2018, with initial expert disclosures due October 10, 2018. In the interim, lead counsel for  
11 Plaintiff was sidelined for a period of time due to unavoidable hip replacement surgery. The  
12 directives following this surgery included a directive to refrain from air travel and long-distance  
13 vehicle travel for a period of 90 days following the surgery date (September 5, 2018).

14           4.       The Defendants filed a motion to stay this litigation on July 15, 2018 [ECF No.  
15 67], after Defendants filed a separate action in state district court (Elko County) regarding the  
16 same events. Due to the pendency of a hearing on a motion filed by Defendants to stay the  
17 litigation, a hearing on which occurred on October 9, 2018, and the needs of the experts, the  
18 parties agreed informally to extend the deadline for disclosure of initial expert witnesses for a  
19 period of five (5) calendar days. During the hearing, Plaintiff's counsel verbally informed the  
20 Court that the parties had agreed to extend the initial expert disclosure deadline to Monday,  
21 October 15, 2018.

22           5.       On October 15, 2018, the parties simultaneously disclosed initial expert witnesses.  
23 Plaintiff disclosed three experts with reports, and five experts that are not required to submit  
24 reports. Defendants disclosed two experts with reports.

25           6.       By an Order dated November 2, 2018 (ECF No. 80), approving the stipulation of  
26 the parties ([ECF No. 79], the deadline for rebuttal experts has been extended until November 19,  
27 2018, 34 days after the date of the actual initial expert exchange in this matter [ECF No. 79]. The  
28 Court approved this stipulation on November 2, 2018 [ECF No. 80].

1           7.       The deposition of Winecup's 30(b)(6) representative is scheduled for Thursday,  
2 November 16, 2018, in Salt Lake City, Utah.

3           8.       Winecup has noticed the deposition of Plaintiff's 30(b)(6) deposition. Due to the  
4 nature of the topics contained in the notice, multiple persons will be designated, and counsel are  
5 cooperating to determine and coordinate dates and locations where and when the representatives  
6 will be available, being mindful of the convenience and expense. Currently, Plaintiff anticipates  
7 no fewer than 4 individuals, not all of whom reside in the same state but all of whom reside  
8 outside Nevada, will be testifying on behalf of Plaintiff pursuant to the 30(b)(6) designations. It  
9 is the possible that additional individuals will be so testifying, contingent upon current  
10 negotiations as to the scope of some subjects, and whether documentation will be accepted as a  
11 substitute for witnesses on some subjects. The 30(b)(6) deposition(s) will require multiple days.

12           9.       Due to the complex hydrological, meteorological, and geotechnical nature of this  
13 case, expert testimony is essential to the claims and defenses of the parties. Depositions of  
14 Plaintiff's eight report and non-report experts are currently scheduled for November 27-30, 2018,  
15 and December 3-6, 2018. Due to schedules and availability, Plaintiff is currently coordinating  
16 with Winecup to develop alternative dates for the depositions in Omaha and Salt Lake City.  
17 Also, depositions are currently being noticed for Winecup's two experts, and for percipient  
18 witnesses disclosed by Winecup, for the dates of December 7-10. The scheduling and available  
19 time for over a dozen depositions in various cities over 12 consecutive work days, particularly  
20 given the intervening holiday, is unrealistic and presents a significant burden on counsel for the  
21 parties. To alleviate that burden and account for the deponents' schedules, and to facilitate the  
22 completion of the discovery needed, the parties request extension of the discovery cutoff.

23           10.      Most of Plaintiff's non-report experts will also be designees for certain 30(b)(6)  
24 topics, and the parties are considering the potential for consolidating the expert and 30(b)(6)  
25 depositions of those individuals into a single sitting, if possible.

26           11.      Plaintiff has noticed depositions for witnesses located in Elko County, for the dates  
27 just prior to the expiration of the current discovery deadline and will need to account for those  
28 individuals' unique scheduling arrangements as well.

1           12. The parties have conducted significant written discovery consisting of  
2 interrogatories, requests for production of documents, and requests for admission. Plaintiff has  
3 produced over eight thousand documents, and Defendants has produced over two thousand  
4 documents, photos, and videos.

5           13. The parties have also issued subpoenas to third parties who may have additional  
6 relevant documents, including but not limited to the Nevada Department of Transportation and  
7 Nevada Division of Water Resources.

8           14. Plaintiff has recently filed a request to amend its complaint to include additional  
9 causes of action arising out of the damage to its property, including nuisance and trespass, and the  
10 Parties may need to conduct additional discovery on those claims.

11           15. Depending on the outcome of the report and non-report expert depositions, the  
12 parties anticipate the potential need to conduct additional written discovery to seek items referred  
13 to or relied upon by the witness, but not yet disclosed.

14           16. Unexpectedly, another one of Plaintiff's attorneys is scheduled for surgery on  
15 November 16, 2018 and will be unable to travel until after November 30, 2018.

16           17. The parties have been working diligently and cooperatively toward accomplishing  
17 the discovery needed in this matter, the logistics of which are not simple. To date, the parties  
18 have been able to amicably resolve differences regarding discovery requests. Plaintiff is asserting  
19 claims for damages of nearly Twenty Million Dollars, and therefore significant discovery is  
20 considered necessary by each party.

21           18. The parties have very recently discussed the possibility of a mediation, and or  
22 discussions regarding settlement, options which are presently contingent upon some parameters.  
23 An extension of the discovery deadlines will facilitate these potential options, and potentially  
24 result in significant savings of attorney fees, costs and judicial resources.

25           19. The parties are requesting a ninety-day extension of the discovery cut-off date due  
26 to the holiday season presenting additional scheduling challenges. The new proposed discovery  
27 cut-off date would be **Monday, March 10, 2019** (ninety days from December 10, 2018 falls on a  
28 Sunday). No additional changes would be made to the expert deadlines.

20. The timing, quantity, and nature of the expert testimony in this case, the scheduling challenges, and the logistical challenges posed by varying locations of witnesses, coupled with the holiday season establish good cause to extend the discovery deadline.

DATED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER

/s/ Michael R. Kealy

Michael R. Kealy, Bar No. 971  
Ashley C. Nikkel, Bar No. 12838  
50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 750  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
Telephone: (775) 323-1601  
Email: [mkealy@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:mkealy@parsonsbehle.com)  
[anikkel@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:anikkel@parsonsbehle.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Union Pacific Railroad Company*

Respectfully submitted,

SNELL & WILMER, L.L.

/s/ Michael R. Menssen

*With permission of counsel*  
William E. Peterson, Bar No. 1525  
Ryan Stodtmeister, Bar No. 14281  
50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 510  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
Telephone: (775) 785-5440  
Email: [wpeterson@swlaw.com](mailto:wpeterson@swlaw.com)  
[rstodtmeister@swlaw.com](mailto:rstodtmeister@swlaw.com)

- and -

David J. Jordan, (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
Michael R. Menssen, (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
Stoel Rives, LLP  
201 S. Main Street, Suite 1100  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Telephone: (801) 328-3131  
Email: [david.jordan@stoel.com](mailto:david.jordan@stoel.com)  
[michael.menssen@stoel.com](mailto:michael.menssen@stoel.com)

*Attorneys for Defendants  
Winecup Gamble, Inc. and Paul Fireman*

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/15/2018

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE